Town of Purcellville Community Policing Advisory Committee

The Town Council of Purcellville (TC)—as the elected body representing and answerable to Purcellville's citizens—will establish the Community Policing Advisory Committee (CPAC) to enhance communication between the TC, the Police Department and Purcellville's residents, businesses and visitors, on all issues related to how Purcellville enforces its laws. CPAC will identify and focus on public safety issues and collaborate with community leaders, community organizations, and the full spectrum of stakeholders in nurturing the health of the community, as well as crafting solutions to multi-faceted community concerns.

CPAC will provide insights and recommendations to the TC and Chief of Police to better foster community engagement and alignment on issues and opportunities.

Mission

To represent the Purcellville community in its full diversity—as advisors to TC and the Police Department based on strategic oversight—in matters related to police-community relations, planning, law enforcement training, programming, and public education to include promoting awareness of racial injustices.

To improve public trust of the police, deter police misconduct, and increase transparency.

To promote equal and fair treatment of all citizens by town law enforcement and public safety.

Duties

CPAC's duties shall include, but not be limited to, advising and making recommendations regarding issues concerning public safety and law enforcement services in the town, such as:

- Enhancing police-community relations;
- Providing a community perspective and recommendations concerning the procedures, programs, policies, training, use of technology, and effectiveness of law enforcement;
- Increasing public awareness of Purcellville's law enforcement services and programs including business, residential, visitor safety, crime prevention, and general law enforcement activities;
- Holding of regular meetings to solicit public input regarding law enforcement services and programs;
- Providing multiple easily accessible platforms through which suggestions, comments, questions, or complaints can be submitted to the CPAC by the community (e.g. website, email, etc.);
- Serving as a liaison between the full diversity of the Purcellville community and Police Department;
- Reviewing significant community issues and making recommendations on potential resolutions;
- Reviewing investigations into police complaints and referring those complaints back to internal investigators if necessary;
- Assisting in community outreach efforts;

Commented [HS1]: Good clear purpose

Commented [HS2]: Limiting "enhanced communication" to only the "enforcement of laws" may be too narrow a focus.

Commented [HS3]: Good clear purpose

Commented [HS4]: "nurturing the health" of the community may be too broad. Could be reworded to "enhancing public safety and public trust in law enforcement"?

Commented [HS5]: Good clear purpose, so long as the problems for which the solutions are being crafted are clearly identified first

Commented [HS6]: Good clear purpose, but should specify that the "insights and recommendations" are related to public safety and public trust in law enforcement.

Commented [HS7]: Vague Phrase: "better foster community engagement and alignment on issues and opportunities"

If the meaning of this phrase encompasses the 3 purposes outlined above [ie; (i) "enhance communication" (ii) "identify public safety issues" and (iii) "collaborate to craft solutions to concerns"], then I would delete this phrase/sentence entirely, since these points are covered above.

If you want make an "alignment" statement, then CPAC's recommendations can enhance "alignment with Council's strategic plan."

Commented [HS8]: MISSION: I recommend more clearly stating the mission of CPAC. Based on what is written, it seems like CPAC has 3 missions, as follows:

Mission

The mission of the Community Policing Advisory Committee (CPAC) is to: (i) enhance open communication and trust between Purcellville's residents, businesses, and visitors, and the Purcellville police department; (ii) <u>identify</u> public safety <u>issues</u> and communicate those issues to the police department and Town Council, and (iii) craft solutions to multi-faceted community safety concerns in collaboration with community leaders, community organizations, and the full spectrum of stakeholders.

Commented [HS9]: PURPOSE: I think that this "mission" statement should be retitled "purpose," and it should be re-written as follows:

Purpose

To represent the Purcellville community in its full diversity as advisors to the Town Council and Police on matters related to police-community relations, police

Commented [HS10]: This is a sensitive personnel area. If CPAC can review internal affairs investigations, it would require the Town disclose certain personnel records and the identities of witnesses, and the content of their testimony. Generally, these types of records

- Encouraging individuals and community groups to assist in the implementation of our community's law enforcement and public safety programs and services;
- When warranted and as a task force of the Town of Purcellville, reviewing Town of Purcellville police actions with regards to bias and use of deadly force, making recommendations regarding accountability and policy change;
- Producing public reports at least yearly on CPAC's activities;
- Assisting with the budget process for law enforcement through an in-depth review of the budget, carrying out program evaluation, and providing recommendations for reprioritizing distribution of funding within the police department;
- Providing recommendations to the TC for redirection of police funding to other community-based programs to support public safety and well-being;
- Reviewing and recommending policies on other matters related to how Purcellville citizens want their laws to be enforced

Committee members are expected to;

- Listen carefully to all community opinions, attitudes, needs and discuss these with the TC and Police Department;
- Engage in regular dialogue with all levels of the police department to educate and understand both the opportunities and constraints inherent to public policing;
- Study programs and services, analyzing problems and needs;
- Offer new proposals and recommend changes in programs, policies, and standards;
- Adhere to a code of ethics;¹
- Assist in providing community members with information about Purcellville's law enforcement policies and programs.
- Hold town officials accountable to the community when town officials adopt or reject law
 enforcement policies based on CPAC's advice by explaining or amplifying explanations
 through diverse media on why the town officials adopted or rejected the policy
 recommendation.

Authority

CPAC relies on the authority of the citizens of Purcellville through their elected body, the Town Council, in performance of an advisory role on how Purcellville wants to enforce its laws. CPAC recommendations are not binding on the Mayor, Town Council, Town Manager, or Chief of Police, but CPAC can and should hold town officials accountable to the community by broadcasting clearly its recommendations and continuously seeking community input. The Chief of Police answers to the Town Manager and is accountable to the TC and community for how the town enforces its laws.

CPAC may review, at a strategic level, incidents related to law enforcement and provide advice to TC and town officials related to law enforcement in light of community expectations. CPAC should have access to any data, documents, and records necessary to perform this function. However, CPAC shall have no authority to investigate or otherwise participate in personnel

Commented [HS11]: I am not sure what this means. We would want to avoid "vigilante" approaches to law enforcement. How would the general public "implement" a law enforcement program or service?

Instead, CPAC could provide recommended changes to the Police General Orders, which sets forth police operating standards.

Commented [HS12]: I recommend against CPAC reviewing or opining on police actions with regard to bias or deadly force. Instead, the CPAC could recommend or encourage training to prevent "bias" and "unnecessary deadly force," and to encourage the use of "de-escalation" techniques. CPAC could also help to ensure that the public is aware of how the police are trained in those areas, and could assist in establishing the framework for how "bias" and "deadly force" cases are investigated/reviewed. CPAC's suggested framework could require data collection that would assist the Town in ascertaining whether bias is a factor in police action. But, the review/investigation of police action should be conducted by trained professionals.

Commented [HS13]: This is beyond the scope of CPAC as it requires a high level of expertise in public policing.

Commented [HS14]: New suggested bullet to clarify that CPAC listens carefully to both the community and to the police

Commented [HS15]: I recommend that this be reworded since the scope of CPAC goes beyond the methodology of "how laws are enforced." Since the scope of CPAC's advisory role was already described in the mission, purpose, and duties sections, perhaps this sentence could state, "CPAC relies on the authority of the citizens of Purcellville through their elected body, the Town Council, to perform its advisory role."

Commented [HS16]: Suggest adding to the end of this sentence:

".... and promotes and preserves public safety."

I think that policing goes beyond "enforcing written laws." It encompasses community engagement, cursory investigations of oddities that don't rise to the level of enforcing a law, and encompasses responding to many many many calls concerning domestic disputes (sometimes involving children) that also do not rise to the level, per se, of enforcing a written law.

Commented [HS17]: This needs to be fleshed out more. I am not sure what this is intended to include, but it should not include review of the contents of an administrative investigation. What does "incident" mean in this context?

¹ To be based on the code of ethics developed by the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement: https://www.nacole.org/nacole_code_of_ethics_2

matters involving specific law enforcement personnel. CPAC will not play a role in civil or criminal litigation.

CPAC's mission as a representative advisory committee on issues of law enforcement warrants a degree of independence from the Town Council and Police Department that is reinforced through membership rules that the TC-liaison to the committee will not have a voting role and no active Purcellville law enforcement officers or other town employees may serve on CPAC.

Membership

CPAC shall be made up of nine representatives plus a non-voting TC liaison. All members should be residents. Members may not be current Purcellville police officers or other town employees. Members must disclose any affiliations that could be sources of bias which would affect their ability to carry out their duties on CPAC. TC, which shall be the appointing authority, should make selections based on principles of inclusivity and diversity. TC should reach out to community organizations that are dedicated to promoting diversity and inclusion to nominate members for the committee. Members could serve a staggered, two-year term and should provide a balance of viewpoints. The terms will be staggered by giving four of the initial representatives a one-year term.

TC may choose to require members to attend community policing or other law enforcement policy courses to gain further knowledge about law enforcement practices and philosophies. These trainings necessitate the creation of a budget for this committee, which should be tied to the police department budget as a percentage of that budget in order to account for necessary growth of the committee if the police department grows or reduction if the police department decreases in size.

Members should develop a relationship with town law enforcement and participate in ridealongs when possible in order to better understand their duties.

Members should receive training on relevant topics to their duties and all aspects of our community, including police practices, civilian oversight, and community diversity.

Bylaws and Rules of Procedure

CPAC shall be responsible for drafting bylaws and rules of procedure.

Bylaws shall include a procedure to make a record of meeting minutes and these minutes shall be forwarded to the TC in a timely manner.

Meeting Schedule

CPAC shall have at least four regular meetings each year. Special meetings may be held as often as CPAC deems necessary. All CPAC meetings shall be open to the public, except as required by law.

Review and Evaluation

Commented [HS18]: This is fine, but CPAC should have in its bylaws that it must adhere to the Town's procurement policies and spending protocols.

Commented [HS19]: This policy is tied closely to the first paragraph and should be incorporated into the first sentence of the first paragraph.

Commented [HS20]: Delete "be responsible for" so it reads, "CPAC shall draft bylaws and rules of procedure." Otherwise, CPAC could decide, under its own authority, that it will not draft bylaws.

I recommend that TC prescribe some boundaries for these bylaws and rules of procedure.

Commented [HS21]: Add: "... so long as they are noticed to the public through the Town Clerk in accordance with state law."

The TC shall periodically review CPAC's function and activities to determine whether CPAC is fulfilling the purpose for which it was established.

Staff Resources

CPAC may require staff support to record and prepare minutes and perform additional tasks as assigned.

Terms of Reference

This document defines the following terms to hold the expressed, specific meanings as applied to the Community Policing Advisory Committee.

Oversight - one of the primary responsibilities of this advisory committee is to provide both the Town's elected officials and its police department advice based on citizen input to ensure that law enforcement practices align with citizen values. To fulfill this advisory function, the CPAC must have the ability to review and monitor law enforcement programs, activities, and policy implementation at a strategic level independently of the Town Council and the Police Department. Oversight in this context includes strategic review and monitoring capabilities but not supervisory or investigative authorities.

Strategic - The focus of independent oversight of the CPAC will relate exclusively to advising the Town's elected officials and Police Department on the long-term or overall aims and interests on how citizens of the town want their laws enforced and the means of achieving these long-term or overall aims and interests.

Commented [HS22]: I expect that CPAC will create a significant time commitment for one or more members of the police department, since a significant level of communication/teaching will be needed.

Commented [HS23]: The paragraph that contained this term has since been reworded thereby mooting this definition