


Group	No.	Group/Criterion	Max. Raw Score	Max. Weight (%)	Max. Weighted Score	Max. Normalized Score
A.		Capacity Provided	10	25%	20	25
	1.	Increase to available water supply				
B.		Reliability and Availability	30	20%	16	2
	2.	Increase in system reliability				
	3.	Improvement to water quality				
	4.	Increase in drought resiliency				
C.		Complexity of Implementation	20	15%	12	15
	5.	Complexity of Project Implementation				
	6.	Time to Implement				
D.		Regulatory Compliance	10	15%	12	15
	7.	Addresses existing regulatory issues				
	8.	Improves system mgt./ ability to address regulatory issues				
E.		Public Health and Safety	10	25%	20	25
	9.	Addresses Identified Public Health Issue or Concerns				
	10.	Addresses the Potential for Catastrophic Failure				
		Totals	80	100%	80	100

Final Project Rankings



Project Rankings – Top 10

	Project	Capacity Provided	Reliability & Availability	Complexity of Implementing Project	Regulatory Compliance	Public Health & Safety	Total
	Ideal Project	25	20	15	15	25	100
1	Reservoir Intake Repair and Dredging	0	20	15	15	25	75
2	Finished Water Storage (In-Town)	0	13	4	15	25	57
3	Marsh Well Lease	25	10	15	0	0	50
4	Nature Park Well Connections and SCADA	25	7	15	0	0	47
5	CGWTP – Phase I (Jeffries) *	13	10	15	8	0	45
6	CGWTP - Phase II (Aberdeen, Jeffries) *	25	7	8	0	0	39
7	Reservoir to WTP Main **	0	3	8	0	25	36
7	WTP to Town Main **	0	3	8	0	25	36
7	Cooper Springs Main	0	3	8	0	25	36
8	CGWTP – Phase III (Bredimus, Well No. 7) *	25	7	4	0	0	35

* The CGWTP will be implemented in three or four phases. Town staff are developing the permitting strategy for these projects.

** Pipelines from the reservoir to WTP and WTP to Town may be expedited if USDA funding can be obtained.